Leadership risk register as at 30 September 2015 (covers rolling 12 months)

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
Page 169	ASC1 C&C2 CSF4, EAI1,3, 15 FR72, 85 ORB06	Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) 2015-20 Failure to achieve the MTFP, which could be as a result of: • not achieving savings • additional service demand and/or • over optimistic funding levels. As a consequence, lowers the council's financial resilience and could lead to adverse long term consequences for services if Members fail to take necessary decisions.	High	 Monthly reporting to Continuous Improvement and Productivity Network and Cabinet on the forecast outturn position is clear about the impacts on future years and enables prompt management action (that will be discussed informally with Cabinet) Budget Support meetings (Chief Executive and Director of Finance) continue to review and challenge the robustness of MTFP delivery plans and report back to Cabinet as necessary Clear management action reported promptly detailing alternative savings / income if original plans become non deliverable or funding levels alter in year Monthly formal budget reports focus on funding levels comparing actual spend to forecasts Budget planning discussions with Cabinet and Select Committees Updated MTFP 2015-20 reported to Cabinet in July 2015. The ongoing budget planning process will continue to develop the MTFP 2016-21. Clear pricing structures in place for services delivered. Early conversations are undertaken with all relevant stakeholders to ensure consultations are effective and completed in a timely manner. 	Members have all the relevant information to make necessary decisions	Director of Finance	High
L6	3	Safeguarding – Children's Services Avoidable failure in Children's	High	Working within the frameworks established by the Children's Safeguarding Board ensures the council's policies and	Timely interventions by well recruited, trained, supervised and managed professionals	Strategic Director for Children's	High

Key to references: ASC = Adult Social Care risk

ORB = Orbis risk

C&C = Customers and Communities risk

CEO = Chief Executive's Office risk CSF = Children, Schools and Families risk EAI = Environment and Infrastructure risk

FR = Fire and Rescue risk FN = Finance Service risk IT = IMT Service risk

Owner: David McNulty

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
Page 170		Services, through action or inaction, including child sexual exploitation, leads to serious harm, death or a major impact on well being.		procedures are up to date and based on good practice. Adult Social Care and Children, Schools and Families are working as key stakeholders in the further development of the Multi-Agency Safeguarding Hub. Children's Services Improvement Plan is being delivered to address areas of improvement from the Ofsted inspection and strengthen service and whole system capability and capacity.	 ensures appropriate actions are taken to safeguard and promote the well being of children in Surrey. Actively respond to feedback from regulators. Robust quality assurance and management systems in place to identify and implement any key areas of learning so safeguarding practice can be improved. The Children's Safeguarding board (chaired by an independent person) comprises senior managers from the County Council and other agencies facilitating prompt decision making and ensuring best practice. An Improvement Board (chaired by the Deputy Leader) oversees progress on the Improvement Plan and agrees areas of action as required. 	Schools and Families	
L13	ASC6,7 ,12	Safeguarding – Adult Social Care Avoidable failure in Adult Social Care, through action or inaction, leads to serious harm, death or a major impact on wellbeing.	High	 Working within the framework established by the Surrey Safeguarding Adults Board ensures that the council's policies and procedures are up to date and based on good practice. Care Act Implementation Board provides strategic direction and focus. Adult Social Care and Children, Schools 	Continue to work with the Independent Chair of the Surrey Safeguarding Adults Board to ensure feedback and recommendations from case reviews are used to inform learning and social work practice.	Strategic Director for Adult Social Care	High

Key to references: ASC = Adult Social Care risk

ORB = Orbis risk

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk		Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
				 and Families are working as key stakeholders in the further development of the Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub. Established a locality safeguarding advisor to assure quality control. Close involvement by Associate Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care in safeguarding functions. 	 Agree and embed agreed changes resulting from Care Act 2014 consultation. Actively respond to feedback from regulators. 		Controlsy
Page 171	ASC2,9	National policy development Continuing national policy changes may put additional pressure on demand for all public services leading to an erosion of financial resilience and ability to deliver statutory and essential services.	High	 Effective horizon scanning to ensure thorough understanding of new policy changes Implementation of a welfare reform programme including districts and boroughs covering: Advice and information Financial resilience Emergency assistance Localisation of council tax support Housing and homelessness Employment training and support Taking opportunities to influence central Government policy development e.g. via the Local Government Association. The Welfare Reform Task Group is monitoring the implementation of its recommendations, which are intended to manage the implementation of reforms on Surrey Residents. The Task Group reports to the Council Overview Board and the Resident Experience Board. 	Groups CCG's) to maximise opportunities for communities - Members proactively take the opportunities to influence central Government Care Act Implementation Board in place and project programme set up to support ongoing discussion with	Strategic Director for Adult Social Care	High

Key to references: ASC = Adult Social Care risk

ORB = Orbis risk

	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
Page 172	ASC2 CEO2	Integration of health and social care Failure in partnership working reduces our ability to: - co-ordinate/integrate health and social care services; - improve health outcomes; and - develop a financially sustainable model.	High	 Robust partnership governance arrangements are in place through the Better Care Board, Public Sector Transformation programme and Surrey's Health and Wellbeing Board Regular monitoring of progress and risks against key Health & Social Care integration work streams and agreed financial governance framework (including the Better Care Fund) Prioritisation of resources and clear senior leadership across Council directorates to support the development of Health & Social Care work streams. Continued focus on building and maintaining strong relationship with partners through regular formal and informal dialogue Surrey's Better Care Fund plan (which includes agreed financial plans, metrics to measure progress and risk sharing arrangements) has been approved by Surrey's Health & Well-Being Board and the national Better Care Fund team. Formal pooling agreements (section 75 agreements) being developed for the operation of the Better Care Fund. 	 approaches to integration across the County. Increase focus on tracking implementation and realisation of benefits through the Local Joint Commissioning Groups. 	Strategic Director for Adult Social Care	High
L5	FN04	Comprehensive Spending Review (CSR) 2015 Risk that CSR 2015: • reduces further the total public sector funding available, and	High	 Contribution to Local Government Commission to review Local Government Funding and development of scenarios for budget planning process is ongoing and will continue throughout 2015. Officers (Finance and Policy in particular) to 	- Cabinet fully consider the implications of CSR in budget planning and agree an MTFP that reflects likely impacts.	Director of Finance	High

Key to references: ASC = Adult Social Care risk

ORB = Orbis risk

Ref	Risk ref.	•		Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
		 introduces a revised distribution mechanism which lowers the councils financial resilience. 		sustain pro-active horizon scanning for insight into potential funding change.			
L14	ASC4	Senior Leadership Succession Planning A significant number of senior leaders leave the organisation within a short space of time and cannot be replaced effectively resulting in a reduction in the ability to deliver services to the level required.	High	 Workforce planning linked to business continuity plans High Performance Development Programme to increase skills, resilience and effectiveness of leaders Career conversations built into appraisal process looking forward five years Shaping leaders exercise 	- Transparent and effective succession plans	Chief Executive	Medium
age 173	EAI2	Waste Failure to deliver the key elements of the waste strategy leads to negative financial and reputational impact.	High	 Implementation monitored by the Waste Programme Delivery Board with strategic overview provided by the Strategic Waste Board Operational Delivery Board created to specifically manage the delivery of the Eco Park development. All major decisions are reported to Cabinet on a regular basis Reporting through Surrey Chief Executives detailed proposals on options for improved collaborative working to achieve the strategy outcomes. Joint strategic partnership reinforces collaboration and will, if successful, strengthen the ability to deliver the key elements of the waste strategy Support from external strategic advisors assists senior officers in management and mitigation of key technical, financial and 	 Strong resourcing and project management regime in place to ensure prompt resolution of any issues that may hinder progress. Collaborative work with Districts and Boroughs is delivered through the Surrey Waste Partnership with close involvement of all Surrey Chief Executives The Waste Programme Delivery Board comprises senior managers from the service together with Procurement and Finance and is chaired by the Assistant Director Environment facilitating prompt decision making. 	Strategic Director of Environment and Infrastructure	Medium

Key to references: ASC = Adult Social Care risk

ORB = Orbis risk

C&C = Customers and Communities risk

CEO = Chief Executive's Office risk CSF = Children, Schools and Families risk EAI = Environment and Infrastructure risk

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated) legal risks.	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
				Senior officers working closely with Government departments.			
Page 174	CSF4 EAI1 FN01	Future Funding The council is highly dependent on Council Tax for funding, and the ability to increase that in real terms is constrained (by current Government policy). This could lead to a reduction in the council's financial resilience with the consequence that funding for key services will be seriously eroded.	High	 Structured approach to ensuring Government understands the council's Council Tax strategy and high dependence Targeted focus with Government to secure a greater share of funding for specific demand led pressures (in particular School Basic Need) Continued horizon scanning of the financial implications of existing and future Government policy changes Development of alternative / new sources of funding (e.g. bidding for grants) Review how systems and processes can lead to greater efficiencies. Notwithstanding actions above, there is a significant risk of Central Government policy changes /austerity measures impacting on the council's long term financial resilience. 	 Members make decisions to reduce spending and or generate alternative sources of funding, where necessary, in a timely manner. Officers unable to recommend MTFP unless a credible sustainable budget is proposed. 	Director of Finance	Medium
L8	CEO1	Reputation A significant failure to deliver within the organisation (caused by an event or individual), could lead to a loss of trust and confidence in the organisation by external stakeholders (e.g. residents, Government, Partners) or internal staff, affecting our ability to deliver services effectively and harming	High	Processes in place that minimise the likelihood of organisational failure include: Active learning by senior leaders from experiences / incidents outside the council inform continual improvement within the council Strong corporate values Robust Governance framework (including codes of conduct, health & safety policies, complaints tracking).	Regular monitoring of effectiveness of processes is in place and improvements continually made and communicated as a result of learning.	Chief Executive Officer	Medium

Key to references: ASC = Adult Social Care risk

ORB = Orbis risk

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk		Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level (after existing controls)
		our freedoms and flexibilities from Government controls.					
Page 175	CEO7 ORB01	Staff resilience Scale of public service transformation and budget challenge leads to loss in productivity, increased sickness and staff turnover, impacting on the ability to deliver services to residents.	High	 Communication, consultation and engagement is a priority for the council with an emphasis placed on thoroughly addressing the concerns of staff and their representatives Eight training courses available that address various aspects of change and trained coaches are available in all services to support staff. High Performance Development Programme being offered across the organisation to support leaders to develop their own and the organisations behaviours. Comprehensive range of surveys and focus groups provide a measure of the staff satisfaction with the council and its management of change. The smarter working framework and flexible working policy are in place to support managers and their teams to work differently. Promotion of support mechanisms for staff (eg. employee assistance). Staff are encouraged to get involved in finding innovative solutions to redesign services. 	 Decision by members on pay and reward system taken in timely manner and combine with staff and union consultation. Communications engagement plan to promote the benefits of working for Surrey and help to support engagement across the organisation to be delivered. 	Strategic Director Business Services	Medium
L10	CEO5 EAI4,5 FR06	Business Continuity, Emergency Planning Failure to respond effectively to a known event or major incident results in an inability to deliver	High	 The Council Risk and Resilience Forum reviews, moderates, implements and tests operational plans. Close working between key services and the Emergency Management Team to 	Business Continuity Plans are in place and signed off (by heads of service) in timely manner	Strategic Director of Environment and Infrastructure	Medium

Key to references: ASC = Adult Social Care risk

ORB = Orbis risk

C&C = Customers and Communities risk

CEO = Chief Executive's Office risk CSF = Children, Schools and Families risk EAI = Environment and Infrastructure risk

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level (no controls)	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	risk level (after existing controls)
		key services.		 update plans and share learning Continued consultation with Unions and regular communication to staff. External risks are assessed through the Local Resilience Forum. Regular updates reported to Statutory Responsibilities Network. 			
L12 Page 176	ASC8	Supply chain / contractor resilience Supply chain failure, lack of business continuity arrangements in place leading to increased costs, time delays or reputational damage and failure to promote service delivery.	High	 Supply chain business continuity plans for strategic/critical contracts to meet required standards. Levels of compliance reported to Statutory Responsibilities Network. Consistent management of supply chain risks across all key suppliers through common reporting. Regular supplier intelligence reporting in place to track industry and supplier news. Risk management training provided to contract managers to enable a consistent approach. Mitigating actions are less effective for small/medium suppliers due to reduced business continuity. 	 Supplier selection policy decision made to include financial resilience and business continuity arrangements Needs strong support from ELT (Extended Leadership Team) to ensure contract resilience and business continuity is in place and regularly up-dated 	Strategic Director Business Services	Medium
L11	ASC5 CEO1 CSF5 IT51	Information Governance Loss of protected data by the council leads to financial penalties, safeguarding issues and erosion of public trust.	Medium	 Encrypted laptops, secure email environment and strong password policies Best practice working standards including PSN accreditation and move towards ISO2700 Focus on educating users through communications campaigns (linked to known peaks for breaches) and a refreshed and re-launched information security e-learning package. Information Governance and Caldicott 	Cabinet review of IT security policy has resulted in the security policy, Code of conduct and social media policies being updated to reflect changes agreed	Strategic Director Business Services	Medium

Key to references: ASC = Adult Social Care risk

ORB = Orbis risk

C&C = Customers and Communities risk

CEO = Chief Executive's Office risk CSF = Children, Schools and Families risk EAI = Environment and Infrastructure risk

Ref	Risk ref.	Description of the risk	Inherent risk level	Processes in place (ie the 'how' risks are being mitigated)	Controls (i.e. decisions needed)	Lead risk owner	Residual risk level
			(no controls)	(io and mono mono and boning innagators)	neededy		(after existing controls)
70				 Boards in place to oversee processes and controls Implementation of learning from feedback where breaches occur. Directorates and Digital Delivery Team engaging with partners to deliver a platform that will enable appropriate sharing of information between agencies. Increased use of mobile technology to minimise the need for paper records. Despite the actions above, there is a continued risk of human error that is out of the council's control. 			
age 177	ORB03	Integrated working A significant change in integrated working leads to significant service disruption and reputational damage.	Medium	 Shared strategy to ensure no unintended consequences. Strong governance arrangements (eg. clear responsibilities, IAA) in place with early warning mechanisms. Effective transition arrangements with continuous stakeholder engagement. Close liaison and communication with customers. 	Leadership and managers recognise the importance of building and sustaining good working relationships with key stakeholders and having early discussions if these falter.	Chief Executive	Low
L16	CEO2 EAI3,15	Partnership working A significant change in partnership working leads to significant service disruption and reputational damage.	Medium	 Public Service Transformation Network (PSTN) leadership work stream in place. Partnership governance arrangements in place with early warning mechanisms. Intelligence on partners is shared and areas of risk identified as a consequence. Aligned partnership strategies High Performance Development Programme in place to increase skills, resilience and effectiveness of leaders. 	Leadership and managers recognise the importance of building and sustaining good working relationships with key stakeholders and having early discussions if these falter.	Chief Executive	Low

Key to references: ASC = Adult Social Care risk

ORB = Orbis risk

C&C = Customers and Communities risk

CEO = Chief Executive's Office risk CSF = Children, Schools and Families risk EAI = Environment and Infrastructure risk

Movement of risks

Ref	Risk	Date added	Inherent risk level when added	Moveme in residu risk lev	ıal	Current residual risk level
L1	Medium Term Financial Plan	Aug 12	High	-	-	High
L2	National policy development	Feb 13	High	-	-	High
L3	Waste	May 10	High	Jan 15	Û	Medium
L4	Integration of health & social care	June 13	High	-	-	High
L5	Comprehensive Spending Review 2015	Sep 14	High	-	-	High
L6	Safeguarding – Children's Services	May 10	High	Jan 15	Û	High
L7	Future funding	Aug 12	High	-	-	Medium
L8	Reputation	Oct 14	High	1	-	Medium
L9	Staff resilience	May 10	High	Jan 12	Û	Medium
L10	Business Continuity, Emergency Planning	May 10	High	Aug 12	Û	Medium
L11	Information governance	Dec 10	Medium	Oct 14	Û	Medium
L12	Supply chain / contractor resilience	Jan 14	High	ı	-	Medium
L13	Safeguarding – Adult Social Care	May 10	High	Jan 15	Û	High
L14	Senior leadership succession planning	Mar 15	High	-	-	Medium
L15	Integrated working	Sept 15	Medium	-	-	Low
L16	Partnership working	Sept 15	Medium	-	-	Low

Risks removed from the register in the last 12 months

Risk	Date added	Date removed
IT risk	May 10	Oct 14

Leadership level risk assessment criteria

Due to their significance, the risks on the Leadership risk register are assessed on their inherent risk level (no controls) and their residual risk level (after existing controls have been taken into account) by high, medium or low.

Risk level	Financial impact	Reputational impact	Performance impact	Likelihood
	(% of council budget)	(Stakeholder interest)	(Impact on priorities)	
Low	< 1%	Loss of confidence and trust in the council felt by a small group or within a small geographical area	Minor impact or disruption to the achievement of one or more strategic / directorate priorities	Remote / low probability
Medium	1 – 10%	A sustained general loss of confidence and trust in the council within the local community	Moderate impact or disruption to the achievement of one or more strategic / directorate priorities	Possible / medium probability
High	10 – 20%	A major loss of confidence and trust in the council within the local community and wider with national interest	Major impact or disruption to the achievement of one or more strategic / directorate priorities	Almost certain / highly probable

